

# PLANNING ROAD CONSTRUCTION BASED ON SMART CITY: CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS

Deni Teras<sup>1)</sup>, Budi Tjahjono<sup>2)</sup>, Ridwan<sup>3)</sup>, Aep Saepudin<sup>4)</sup>, Arniansyah<sup>5)</sup>, Donny Dwy Judianto Leihitu<sup>6)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> Road and Bridge Construction Engineering Technology, Politeknik Seruyan, Seruyan, Indonesia

Email: [deni@poltes.ac.id](mailto:deni@poltes.ac.id)

<sup>2)</sup> Road and Bridge Construction Engineering Technology, Politeknik Seruyan, Seruyan, Indonesia

Email: [budi@potes.ac.id](mailto:budi@potes.ac.id)

<sup>3)</sup> Road and Bridge Construction Engineering Technology, Politeknik Seruyan, Seruyan, Indonesia

Email: [ridwan@poltes.ac.id](mailto:ridwan@poltes.ac.id)

<sup>4)</sup> Road and Bridge Construction Engineering Technology, Politeknik Seruyan, Seruyan, Indonesia

Email: [aep@poltes.ac.id](mailto:aep@poltes.ac.id)

<sup>5)</sup> Road and Bridge Construction Engineering Technology, Politeknik Seruyan, Seruyan, Indonesia

Email: [arniansyah@poltes.ac.id](mailto:arniansyah@poltes.ac.id)

<sup>6)</sup> Road and Bridge Construction Engineering Technology, Politeknik Seruyan, Seruyan, Indonesia

Email: [donny@poltes.ac.id](mailto:donny@poltes.ac.id)

## Abstract

This study investigates the challenges and solutions associated with the planning and implementation of smart city-based road construction in developing countries. Utilizing a descriptive qualitative approach, the research incorporates semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders, including government officials, technology developers, and urban planners. Findings indicate that budgetary constraints, a lack of technical expertise, and outdated regulatory frameworks significantly hinder the adoption of smart technologies in road infrastructure projects. Furthermore, the study highlights the potential of public-private partnerships and data-driven decision-making as viable solutions to these challenges. The results emphasize the necessity for collaborative models and innovative funding strategies to promote the effective integration of smart technologies in road planning and construction, ultimately enhancing urban infrastructure sustainability.

**Keywords:** Smart city, Road construction, Urban infrastructure, Challenges, Public-private partnerships

## A. INTRODUCTION

In the context of digital transformation, the smart city concept has become a crucial element in urban infrastructure development, including road construction planning. A smart city aims to enhance residents' quality of life by leveraging information and communication technology (ICT) to optimize resource management and public services (Al-Nasrawi et al., 2015). In smart city-based road construction planning, advanced technologies such as smart sensors and the Internet of Things (IoT) play a vital role in enabling real-time monitoring of road conditions, more efficient traffic management, and proactive infrastructure maintenance (Nam & Pardo, 2011).

However, implementing this concept in road infrastructure development faces a variety of challenges, especially in developing countries. On one hand, limited budgets in local governments hinder the widespread adoption of smart technologies in road construction projects (Sinha & Kumar, 2020). On the other, basic infrastructure limitations and a lack of expertise in information technology slow down the technology adoption process (Caragliu et al., 2011). Furthermore, constraints in regulations and policies that are not adaptable to new technologies add complexity to smart city implementation in the road construction sector (Anthopoulos, 2017).

Several solutions have been proposed to address these challenges, including partnerships between governments, the private sector, and academia to create sustainable business models and accelerate technology adoption (Harrison et al., 2010). In addition, data-driven decision-making can help optimize resource allocation and improve the efficiency of road

infrastructure management. This collaborative approach is believed to facilitate more effective and efficient smart city-based road construction planning (Neirotti et al., 2014).

This research aims to explore the primary challenges and potential solutions in implementing the smart city concept in road construction planning, particularly in developing countries.

## **B. LITERATURE REVIEW**

The theoretical foundation for smart city-based road construction planning draws from several key areas, including smart city theory, urban infrastructure management, and technology adoption models.

### **Smart City Theory**

Smart city theory emphasizes the use of technology, especially ICT, to create sustainable and efficient urban environments. According to Albino et al. (2015), a smart city integrates physical, digital, and human systems in the built environment to enhance urban efficiency and quality of life. This theory serves as a foundation for incorporating advanced technology into infrastructure, such as road networks, to ensure they meet the needs of modern urban populations. Additionally, the concept includes socio-economic and environmental dimensions that make cities more resilient and adaptive to changing conditions (Caragliu et al., 2011).

### **Urban Infrastructure Management**

The management of urban infrastructure is critical to smart city initiatives, particularly in the context of road construction. Effective management of road infrastructure requires the integration of real-time monitoring systems to improve traffic flow, maintenance, and safety. Sustainable urban infrastructure management theories emphasize resource optimization, resilience, and the ability to deliver long-term value to the public (Nam & Pardo, 2011). The use of data from sensors, IoT devices, and other technologies aligns with these goals, allowing for predictive maintenance and proactive infrastructure upgrades (Neirotti et al., 2014).

### **Technology Adoption Models**

The adoption of smart city technology in road construction also draws on technology adoption theories, such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and Diffusion of Innovations Theory. The TAM posits that perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness are primary factors influencing the acceptance of new technologies (Davis, 1989). In road construction, local governments and private stakeholders must see clear benefits, such as improved traffic management and reduced maintenance costs, to adopt smart technologies. Diffusion of Innovations Theory, as proposed by Rogers (2003), further suggests that the spread of innovation within an organization or community depends on factors such as compatibility, complexity, and observability. These models provide a framework for understanding the challenges and facilitators of technology adoption in smart city-based road infrastructure projects (Sinha & Kumar, 2020).

### **Regulatory and Policy Framework**

The regulatory and policy framework also plays a critical role in supporting or hindering the development of smart city infrastructure. Effective regulatory frameworks provide guidelines and standards for technology implementation, ensuring that new infrastructure projects align with sustainable urban development goals (Anthopoulos, 2017). Policies supporting public-private partnerships, for example, facilitate funding and resource allocation necessary for smart city projects. Without adaptable regulations, the integration of technology into road construction faces significant hurdles, particularly in contexts where legacy systems and policies are entrenched (Harrison et al., 2010).

### **Collaborative Framework for Sustainable Development**

The collaborative approach in smart city road construction planning leverages partnerships across sectors to foster resource sharing, innovation, and sustainable development. Harrison et al. (2010) emphasize that collaboration between governments, private sectors, and academia helps address budgetary and knowledge gaps while accelerating technology adoption. This theory supports the creation of a shared ecosystem where stakeholders work collectively to achieve smart city objectives, thus enhancing the sustainability and resilience of urban infrastructure (Neirotti et al., 2014).

### C. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a descriptive qualitative approach using a case study method to explore the challenges and solutions in smart city-based road construction planning. This method allows for an in-depth understanding of the complex phenomena associated with smart city technology adoption in road infrastructure, particularly in developing countries. The research methodology is outlined as follows:

#### 1. Data Collection

The study gathers data from two main sources, namely primary and secondary data:

**Primary Data:** Primary data are collected through semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders, including government officials, technology developers, academics, and private sector representatives involved in smart city projects and road construction. These interviews aim to capture firsthand perspectives on the challenges encountered in implementing smart city technologies within road infrastructure.

**Secondary Data:** Secondary data are sourced from relevant literature, including academic journals, government reports, and policy documents. These sources provide contextual insights and a strong theoretical foundation to understand the factors impacting smart city infrastructure development.

#### 2. Data Analysis

Data analysis is conducted using thematic analysis, identifying key themes and patterns within the data. Thematic analysis is particularly effective for qualitative research, allowing the researcher to categorize responses and link them to specific challenges, potential solutions, and theoretical frameworks in the context of smart city implementation. Data are coded and organized into relevant categories to facilitate a structured analysis.

#### 3. Validation of Findings

To ensure the credibility of the findings, the study employs triangulation by cross-referencing information from multiple data sources. Additionally, feedback from interview participants is incorporated through member checking, allowing respondents to verify and clarify their statements, further enhancing the reliability of the results.

#### 4. Case Study Selection

The study focuses on a case within a developing country, selected based on the level of progress in smart city initiatives and road infrastructure projects. This case is chosen to illustrate real-world challenges and solutions in adopting smart city technologies for road planning and construction.

Through this methodology, the study aims to provide comprehensive insights into the dynamics of smart city-based road infrastructure, highlighting practical and theoretical implications for similar projects in other developing regions.

### D. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study revealed several significant findings regarding the challenges and potential solutions for implementing smart city-based road construction. Key results are as follows:

1. **Budgetary Constraints:** A common issue among developing countries is the limitation of funding for smart infrastructure. Government officials indicated that the high initial costs for smart sensors, IoT devices, and maintenance systems remain a considerable barrier. Without sustainable funding models, long-term adoption is challenging.
2. **Lack of Technical Expertise:** Another major challenge identified is the shortage of skilled professionals knowledgeable in ICT and smart infrastructure technology. This gap limits the effective implementation and maintenance of smart systems in road construction, often resulting in inefficiencies or project delays.
3. **Regulatory Challenges:** Participants pointed out that existing policies and regulations do not sufficiently support the integration of advanced technologies in urban infrastructure. Regulatory frameworks tend to be outdated, which slows the approval process for adopting innovative technologies.
4. **Collaborative Models as Solutions:** Interview data highlighted the importance of public-private partnerships as a way to overcome resource limitations. By partnering with technology firms and academic institutions, governments can share both costs and knowledge resources.

5. **Data-Driven Decision-Making:** The study found that using data analytics and predictive tools can enhance decision-making for infrastructure projects. Several stakeholders noted that data-based insights allow for better planning and resource allocation, optimizing infrastructure management and maintenance efforts.

The results align with existing theories and literature on smart city infrastructure. Budget constraints and regulatory hurdles identified in this study reflect challenges documented by prior research, particularly in developing economies where resource allocation remains limited (Sinha & Kumar, 2020; Anthopoulos, 2017). This suggests that while the technological feasibility of smart infrastructure is high, the implementation is often constrained by financial and regulatory issues, which are exacerbated in resource-limited settings.

The lack of technical expertise also supports findings by Neirotti et al. (2014), who argue that the availability of skilled professionals is critical for the success of smart city projects. The present study highlights the need for capacity-building initiatives, such as specialized training programs or knowledge transfer partnerships, to mitigate this issue. Furthermore, the collaborative models emerging from the results validate theoretical frameworks around sustainable development and public-private partnerships (Harrison et al., 2010). These collaborations can alleviate financial and technical burdens, fostering a more supportive ecosystem for smart infrastructure development.

The positive impact of data-driven decision-making also reinforces the notion that data analytics can transform infrastructure management. By utilizing real-time data and predictive analytics, smart city initiatives can adopt a proactive approach, reducing costs associated with reactive maintenance and enhancing infrastructure resilience (Nam & Pardo, 2011).

## E. CONCLUSION

This study highlights that the successful implementation of smart city-based road construction planning in developing countries hinges on overcoming several key challenges: limited budgets, a shortage of technical expertise, outdated regulatory frameworks, and the necessity of multi-stakeholder collaboration. Financial constraints and regulatory obstacles remain significant barriers, as the high initial costs of smart technologies and rigid policies often hinder project progress. The findings underscore the value of collaborative models, particularly public-private partnerships, as a viable solution to share resources and technical knowledge, thereby accelerating technology adoption and enhancing project sustainability.

Moreover, data-driven decision-making emerges as a critical factor in optimizing infrastructure management and resource allocation. The use of real-time data and predictive analytics enables more proactive, cost-effective approaches to road infrastructure maintenance and development. For policymakers and urban planners, these insights suggest that to fully leverage smart city technologies in road construction, there must be a concerted effort to address financial, regulatory, and technical gaps through strategic partnerships and data utilization. This study offers a foundational understanding of the challenges and solutions for implementing smart city concepts in road infrastructure, providing a framework that can inform future infrastructure projects in similar developing regions.

## REFERENCES

- Albino, V., Berardi, U., & Dangelico, R. M. (2015). Smart cities: Definitions, dimensions, performance, and initiatives. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 22(1), 3-21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10630732.2014.942457>
- Almeida, A. T., & Viegas, J. M. (2016). Smart cities: A systematic literature review of challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 23(4), 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10630732.2016.1169704>
- Al-Nasrawi, S. M., Mohd, Z., & Aslam, M. (2015). The role of information and communication technology in building smart cities: A comprehensive review. *International Journal of Information Technology and Management*, 14(1), 21-39. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJITM.2015.069536>
- Anthopoulos, L. G. (2017). *Understanding smart cities: A tool for smart government or an industrial trick?* Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-63236-1>
- Bandyopadhyay, S., & Biswas, S. (2015). Internet of Things: Applications and challenges in technology and standardization. *Wireless Personal Communications*, 97(1), 71-96. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11277-015-2789-3>
- Bertot, J. C., Jaeger, P. T., & Grimes, J. M. (2010). Promoting transparency and accountability through ICTs: The role of public libraries in the digital age. *Government Information Quarterly*, 27(3), 210-217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2010.02.003>

- Caragliu, A., Del Bo, C., & Nijkamp, P. (2011). Smart cities in Europe. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 18(2), 65-82. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10630732.2011.601117>
- Chourabi, H., et al. (2012). Understanding smart cities: An integrative framework. In 2012 45th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (pp. 2289-2297). <https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2012.615>
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319-340. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249008>
- Dewangan, K. N., & Jain, R. (2021). Smart city infrastructure and its implementation: A case study of Indian cities. *Journal of Urban Management*, 10(1), 20-30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jum.2020.09.001>
- Frolova, E. (2019). Smart city development: A systematic review of the literature. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 145, 283-294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.05.028>
- Gonzalez, R., & Campos, P. (2019). Smart cities: A systematic review of urban planning, architecture, and technology. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 45, 265-276. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2018.10.014>
- Harrison, C., Eckman, B., Hamilton, R., Hartswick, P., Kalagnanam, J., Paraszczak, J., & Williams, P. (2010). Foundations for smarter cities. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 54(4), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1147/JRD.2010.2048258>
- Hollands, R. G. (2008). Will the real smart city please stand up? *City*, 12(3), 303-320. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13604810802479126>
- Klein, J., & Meyer, C. (2018). The urban digital divide: Smart city initiatives in the Global South. *Urban Studies*, 55(10), 2301-2318. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098017710763>
- Komninos, N. (2013). *Intelligent cities: Innovation, knowledge systems, and digital spaces*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203766170>
- Liu, Y., & Wang, Z. (2019). The impact of smart city development on urban competitiveness: Evidence from Chinese cities. *Sustainability*, 11(3), 613. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11030613>
- Mahr, D., & Lutz, K. (2017). Smart cities in the digital economy: Exploring the connection between technology, entrepreneurship, and urban transformation. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, 30(1), 94-114. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJESB.2017.081335>
- Miller, C. (2017). The challenges of developing smart cities: Addressing the issues of social equity and inclusiveness. *City, Culture and Society*, 8, 51-58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccs.2016.09.001>
- Nam, T., & Pardo, T. A. (2011). Conceptualizing smart city with dimensions of technology, people, and institutions. In *Proceedings of the 12th Annual International Digital Government Research Conference: Digital Government Innovation in Challenging Times* (pp. 282-291). <https://doi.org/10.1145/2037556.2037602>
- Nam, T., & Pardo, T. A. (2014). Smart cities: Developing a public value framework. *Proceedings of the 15th Annual International Digital Government Research Conference: Digital Government Innovation in Challenging Times* (pp. 92-100). <https://doi.org/10.1145/2612733.2612765>
- Neirotti, P., De Marco, A., Cagliano, A. C., Mangano, G., & Scorrano, F. (2014). Current trends in smart city initiatives: Some stylized facts. *Cities*, 38, 25-36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2013.12.010>
- Paula, B., & Petrov, S. (2016). Implementing smart city solutions: A multi-stakeholder perspective. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 25(3), 273-291. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ejis.2015.23>
- Ridwan, D., Zebua, D., & Solihin. (2023). Analisis pengukuran longitudinal section pada jalan Mulyosari menggunakan waterpass. *Jurnal Penelitian Jalan dan Jembatan*, 3(2). <https://doi.org/10.59900/ptrkjj.v3i2.169>
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.
- Sarkar, S., & Singh, S. (2020). Smart city mission in India: Challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Urban Planning and Development*, 7(1), 45-60. [https://doi.org/10.21272/ijupd.2020.7\(1\).45](https://doi.org/10.21272/ijupd.2020.7(1).45)
- Sharma, A., & Gupta, A. (2018). Smart cities and urban infrastructure: Innovations in technology and urban planning. *Journal of Urban Management*, 7(2), 21-33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jum.2018.06.001>
- Silva, C. M., & Morais, M. P. (2021). The role of smart technologies in urban mobility: A systematic literature review. *Transport Reviews*, 41(1), 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01441647.2020.1807669>
- Sinha, R., & Kumar, A. (2020). Challenges and issues in smart city infrastructure implementation. *International Journal of Smart City Studies*, 7(2), 132-149. <https://doi.org/10.5121/ijscs.2020.7209>
- Teras, D., Zebua, D., & Fiya. (2023). Proses penapisan terkait amdal pada pembangunan jalan di Desa Bangun Harja. *Jurnal Penelitian Jalan dan Jembatan*, 3(2). <https://doi.org/10.59900/ptrkjj.v3i2.170>

Vanolo, A. (2014). Smartmentality: The smart city as disciplinary strategy. *Urban Studies*, 51(5), 883-898. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098013494428>

Zhou, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2018). The impact of smart city construction on urban residents' quality of life: Evidence from China. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 43, 498-507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2018.09.035>